

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/862,387	05/21/2001	Andrew D. Padawer	50037.19US01/MS#164070.1	8873
27488 7590 05/18/2004 MERCHANT & GOULD P.O. BOX 2903			EXAMINER CHUNG TRANS, XUONG MY	
	IS, MN 55402-0903		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2022	T

DATE MAILED: 05/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N .	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/862,387	PADAWER ET AL.	
		Examin r	Art Unit	/t/
*	The MAILING DATE - S.H.	Xuong M. Chung-Trans	2833	K J
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence ac	dress
- Ext afte - If th - If N - Fail Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEMANILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Idensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 are period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of lure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute or reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing fined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a repl y within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timel S from the mailing date of this c	ly. ommunication.
Status				
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Fe	ahruany 2004		
2a)□		action is non-final.		
	Since this application is in condition for allowar	action is non-illial.		
-/-	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parto Quarto 107 107 107 107 107 107 107 107 107 107	s, prosecution as to the	merits is
		x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	tion of Claims			
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			547
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	m nom consideration,		٠,٠
1	Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.			
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	: alaction requirement	•	
	•	election requirement.		•
Applicati	ion Papers			
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examiner			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) abjected to by t	he Evaminer	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	Irawing(s) be held in abevance	See 37 CED 1 05/a)	
• I	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	on is required if the drawing(s) is	Sobjected to See 37 CF	Da doden
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	eminer Note the attached Of	fice Action or form DT	R 1.121(a).
		annior. Note the attached Of	nce Action of Ionn PT	U-152.
	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119			•
a) <u>[</u>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p  All b) Some * c) None of:  Certified copies of the priority documents  Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received. have been received in Appli	cation No.	
	<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the priorit</li></ol>	ty documents have been rec	eived in this National S	Stage
	application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list o	f the certified copies not rece	eived.	
Attachment	(s)			
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summ	nary (PTO-413)	
2)   Notice	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Ma	il Date	
2)	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5)   Notice of Inform		
3) inform Paper	No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	al Patent Application (PTO-	152)

Application/Control Number: 09/862,387

Art Unit: 2833

- 1. This is responsive to a request for continued examination filed on June 13, 2003. Claims 1-22 are pending in this application.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 6 and 10-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kikinis et al. (USPN 5,542,035) in view of Chou et al. (USPN 5,832,283).

As per claim 1 and 6, Kikinis discloses the invention substantially as claimed, comprising: a timer (RCT 26) configured to generate a wake event upon the expiration of a countdown time; a first set of data including a sleep time and a wake time (col. 3, lines 45-50); a second set of data (co1.3, lines 1 1-15, col. 4, lines 3-10); means configured to store and retrieve time data; and an application configured to put the device in a low power consumption state substantially near the sleep (col.4, lines 3-61). Kikinis does not explicitly disclose that the second set of data including future appointment times can be stored in and retrieved from an appointment register and uses one of the future appointment times or the wake time to bring the mobile device out of the low power consumption state at substantially the earlier of the wake time or one of the future appointment time. Chou, however, discloses such an appointment register (scheduling database155) and uses the wake time (timer expired) or

Application/Control Number: 09/862,387

Art Unit: 2833

appointment time (another event) to wake up the system, either one (it is implied/inherent that the one that is earlier or first will cause wake up) of the conditions are enough to cause wake up (see abstract, lines 6-9). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to include the teaching of Chou in the Kikinis system in order to allow the system wake-up when the timer expired or another event, whichever occurs first as necessary.

As per claim 2, Kikinis disclose the application is configured to not to bring the device out of the low power consumption if the device has been shut off by the user-actuated hard switch (KBC, col. 2, lines 6-10; lines 60-63).

As per claims 3, Both Kikinis and Chou disclose the user interface configured to receive the first set of data and the second set of data. See Kikinis (kbd and col.4, lines 15-66); and Chou (user interface 180, col. 8, lines 9-15; col. 21, lines 20-23; and col. 28, line 38 to col. 29, line 23).

As per claims 4-5, Kikinis does not explicitly disclose abort the placing of the device into or out of the low power consumption state. Kikinis, however, disclose the use of earlier or later startups and/or shutdowns (col. 4, lines 20-42). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary in the art, at the time the invention was made, that the Kikinis system must abort the placing of the device into or out of the low power consumption state. This is because Kikinis specifically teach a system that provide an easy adjustment of startup and shutdown time to accommodate shift work, holidays, weekends, etc. and thereby provide both flexible and energy-efficient (col.1, lines 47-56)

Application/Control Number: 09/862,387

Art Unit: 2833

and col. 3, lines 45-49. Further, Chou discloses abort the placing of the device into or out of the low power consumption state (col. 11, lines 28-57).

As per claims 10-22, these claims recite a method steps substantially corresponding to the system claims 1-6. Therefore, they are rejected under a similar rational.

4. Claims 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kikinis and Chou as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Vossler (USPN 6,317,593) and/or Vong et al. (USPN 6,209,011).

As per claims 7-9, Kikinis does not explicitly disclose the predetermined event including appointment time, meeting time or a task expiration time. Both Vossler and Vong, however, disclose such a predetermined event schedule (Vossler, abstract and col. 8, lines 10-54; Vong, col. 1, lines 30-36, col. 5, lines 8-18). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled artisan at the time the invention was made to include such event schedule as taught by Vossler and Vong into the Kikinis system in order to activate or deactivate' the device and to help schedule event and to perform other function according to the user's need.

5. The additional cited references are considered as art being relevant to this application. Applicant is requested to consider them when responding to this Office action.

Art Unit: 2833

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Xuong M. Chung-Trans whose telephone number is (571) 272-2002. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30AM to 1:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paula Bradley, can be reached on (571) 272-2800 extension 33. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

X. Chung-Trans

ROSS GUSHI PRIMARY EXAMINED